Discussion: A Theory of Falling Growth and Rising Rents

Philippe Aghion, Antonin Bergeaud, Timo Boppart, Peter Klenow, and Huiyu Li

50 Years Research and Policy

Minneapolis, August 2019

Sam Kortum Yale University

Puzzle

- Productivity growth
 - after a decade of rapid TFP growth 15 years ago
- Firm concentration
- Labor share
 - but not within individual firms
 - firms with low labor share got bigger

- Firms innovate to improve quality of goods
 - Create intangible nonrival originals

- Firms innovate to improve quality of goods
 - Create intangible nonrival originals
- Return on intangibles cuts into labor's share

- Firms innovate to improve quality of goods
 - Create intangible nonrival originals
- Return on intangibles cuts into labor's share
- Fixed number of firms of type high or low

- Firms innovate to improve quality of goods
 - Create intangible nonrival originals
- Return on intangibles cuts into labor's share
- Fixed number of firms of type high or low
- High-type firms have lower production costs
 - incentive to build larger stocks of intangibles
 - resulting in a lower labor share

- Firms innovate to improve quality of goods
 - Create intangible nonrival originals
- Return on intangibles cuts into labor's share
- Fixed number of firms of type high or low
- High-type firms have lower production costs
 - incentive to build larger stocks of intangibles
 - resulting in a lower labor share
- IT revolution eased cost of running a big firm

- Firms innovate to improve quality of goods
 - Create intangible nonrival originals
- Return on intangibles cuts into labor's share
- Fixed number of firms of type high or low
- High-type firms have lower production costs
 - incentive to build larger stocks of intangibles
 - resulting in a lower labor share
- IT revolution eased cost of running a big firm
- [•] High-type firms gained more, hence expanded

- Firms innovate to improve quality of goods
 - Create intangible nonrival originals
- Return on intangibles cuts into labor's share
- Fixed number of firms of type high or low
- High-type firms have lower production costs
 - incentive to build larger stocks of intangibles
 - resulting in a lower labor share
- IT revolution eased cost of running a big firm
- ⁺ High-type firms gained more, hence expanded
- Productivity jumps up but eventually innovation rate is lower

Quality Ladders (and Minnesota)



Model

Labor share of a high-type firm

$$s_H = S*\frac{1}{\gamma} - (1 - S*)\frac{1}{\Delta\gamma}$$

Profit flow

$$\pi_H(n) = n\left(1 - s_H\right) - \frac{\psi_0}{2}n^2$$

Bellman equation

$$v_H(n) = \max_{n'} \left\{ \pi_H(n) - (n' - n(1 - z^*))\psi_c + \beta v_H(n') \right\}$$

Share of high-type competitors:

$$S^* = J_H n_H^*$$

Model

Labor share of a high-type firm

$$s_H = S*\frac{1}{\gamma} - (1 - S*)\frac{1}{\Delta\gamma}$$

Profit flow

$$\pi_H(n) = n\left(1 - s_H\right) - \frac{\psi_0}{2}n^2$$

Bellman equation

$$v_H(n) = \max_{n'} \left\{ \pi_H(n) - (n' - n(1 - z^*))\psi_c + \beta v_H(n') \right\}$$

Share of high-type competitors:

$$S^* = J_H n_H^*$$

Steady State and Transition



Steady State and Transition



Steady State and Transition



Growth

• From n_H^* and hence the high-type labor share s_H

$$z^* = \frac{1 - s_H - \psi_0 n_H^*}{\psi_c} + \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta}$$
owth:

Aggregate growth:

$$g = z^* \ln \lambda$$

• Ambiguous, but they find it falls in as n_H^* rises

- Much left to be done to clarify this result and others,
 - working out corner solutions and parameter restrictions

- This paper employs a new growth model
 - ... to tell a "quantitative story" of the new economy

- This paper employs a new growth model
 - ... to tell a "quantitative story" of the new economy
- Story features firms and nonrival intangibles
 - links to firm-level data
 - allows general-equilibrium counterfactuals

- This paper employs a new growth model
 - ... to tell a "quantitative story" of the new economy
- Story features firms and nonrival intangibles
 - links to firm-level data
 - allows general-equilibrium counterfactuals
- Literature evolving from toy models to serious

- This paper employs a new growth model
 - ... to tell a "quantitative story" of the new economy
- Story features firms and nonrival intangibles
 - links to firm-level data
 - allows general-equilibrium counterfactuals
- Literature evolving from toy models to serious
- [•] Work by Ufuk Akcigit, Michael Peters, Danial Lashkari and others are pushing this agenda

- This paper employs a new growth model
 - ... to tell a "quantitative story" of the new economy
- Story features firms and nonrival intangibles
 - links to firm-level data
 - allows general-equilibrium counterfactuals
- Literature evolving from toy models to serious
- [•] Work by Ufuk Akcigit, Michael Peters, Danial Lashkari and others are pushing this agenda
- Tor Jakob Klette would have been pleased